SHORT NOTES

ADDENDUM TO PROFESSOR MILLAR BURROW'S NOTE ON THE ASCENT FROM ACCHO IN 4QpIsa*. 1)

Professor Millar Burrows' interpretation of the suffix of b'lutu is, of course, the natural one if the original meaning of Isaiah's words is followed in this peser. However, as he is aware, this cannot be presumed, having regard to the usual methods of interpretation practised by this Sect, and there is, at least, no necessity for the reference to be as the prophet clearly intended it.

That understood, it remains to discover whether the context of the commentary itself will allow it. Bearing in mind the reference to the Davidic Prince in Frgt. A line 2 (allowing my reconstruction ns)y' h'dh), we have a singular subject, with which the biblical text nicely conforms and which could most naturally be the reference of the singular suffix of b'lwtw. Furthermore, the references to the enemies in Frgt. B are all plural, and it is not until we come to Frgt. D that a singular Magog makes an appearance.

It is possible that the subject of the peser changes from the nsy' b'dh before we encounter the Isaianic Enemy's Approach passage, but until some hint of this turns up in another fragment, I prefer on the whole to retain my interpretation as applying to the Messiah, particularly as the contents of Frgt. D are devoted to that person.

Manchester. John Allegro

$H\bar{O}B^*R\bar{E}\ \bar{S}\bar{A}M\bar{A}/IM\ ([ES\ x|vii\ 13]) = HIMMELSANBETER?$

Höbere samajim wird gewöhnlich, auf Grund der Septuaginta (οἱ ἀστρολόγοι τοῦ οὐρανοῦ) 2) und des arabischen babara, in Stücke schneiden" 3) als "Astrologen" erklärt, die den Himmel in Felder abteilen ("zerschneiden"), um den Horoskop zu stellen. 4) Diese

¹⁾ VT VII, 1, p. 104 f.
2) Der Vulgata (auguste caeli) und die Patint (a weiter) folgen